

TRENDS & ISSUES

Reducing common law exposure in the mining and construction industries



Overview



- What is a common law claim and why are they relevant to today's forum?
- The source of claims case studies.
- Common law liability what are the common issues and what evidence makes a difference.
- What issues/evidence truly impact quantum in the current environment.

© DibbsBarker 2016

Case Studies



CASE 1

 44 year old operator at open cut mine – neck injury whilst truck being loaded - \$1,900 nett – not R.T.W.

CASE 2

• 57 year old maintenance fitter on construction project – lumbar spine injury manually manoeuvring mobile compressor in muddy ground - \$1,500 net – made redundant at project end and not R.T.W.

CASE 3

 34 year old rigger – shoulder injury – 'heavy repetitive duties' over eighteen months - \$1,750 net – returned to work, different employer, 'lighter role' - \$1,250 net.

Common Law Liability



- 'Devise, maintain and enforce a safe system of work'.
- Emphasis of safety systems in two industries is on prevention of 'high consequence events'.
- Common law claims often result from high probability/ 'low consequence events'.
- What evidence matters?
- Worker's own actions?

Common Law Liability



CASE STUDIES

- 44 year old operator loading.
- 57 year old maintenance fitter moving compressor.
- 34 year old rigger 'heavy repetitive duties'.

What are the key liability issues / evidence for each?

Quantum / Damages



- Catastrophic injuries aside, loss of earning capacity/economic loss is the greatest component of most awards.
- Two elements:
 - 1. Loss of capacity; and
 - Whether capacity loss is likely to be productive of financial loss.
- Uncontrollable factors age and pre-accident earnings.
- What can be controlled?

Quantum / Damages



SPECIFIC ISSUES

- Medical causation the asymptomatic pre-existing made symptomatic.
- Are earnings at time representative of long-term capacity?
- The future? Specific projects and general industry decline.
- Return to work in existing role with same employer 'most favourable' for common law.

Evidence Gathering



- Absent the subject injury, what is likely to have been the employment path for this worker, and what is it now?
- Employer data, role specific and often site specific.
- Geographic data beyond employer.
- General industry data and trends.
- Residual capacity?

Evidence Relevant to Case Studies



- 44 year old operator \$1,900 14 year history as operator and not worked for 2 years.
- 57 year old maintenance fitter 30 plus years in industry but only 2 on specific project at much higher earnings. Redundant anyway?
- 34 year old rigger 5 years in injury lower earnings at another employer.

Key take away points



- 1. What are the most common risk factors for your organization "low consequence"?
- 2. How persuasive would your liability evidence be?
- Loss of earning capacity "determines" most quantum outcomes
- 4. Evidence must compare claimant's probable earnings path post—injury with notional path absent injury
- 5. Questions?



DIBBSBARKER